

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS:**

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed 01/29/2007. By said Action, Claims 17, 19-23, and 26-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph (or objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c); and, Claims 1-3 and 7-35 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of Damasco ('535). By this amendment, Claims 17, 19-23, 26-29 and 31-35 have been amended; and, Claim 30 has been canceled, without prejudice. Claims 1-16, 18, and 24-25 remain as before. Furthermore, a terminal disclaimer is being proffered herewith.

Applicants wish to express appreciation to the Examiner for the thoroughness of the latest Office Action. In response it is believed that with the amendment of the above-mentioned claims all rejected/objected issues have been addressed and resolved.

In view of the foregoing Amendment and remarks, it is respectfully urged that all pending claims are in condition for allowance, and such action as well as passage of this case to issue is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner has any further questions, or believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to the advancement of the prosecution of the subject application, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,

/Lawrence N. Ginsberg/

4/30/07

\_\_\_\_\_  
LAWRENCE N. GINSBERG,  
Attorney for Applicant, Reg. No. 30,943

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

Telephone 949-450-5454